



Quality of Mobile Services

Kingdom of Bahrain – 2017



This study is published in accordance with Articles 3(b)(1), 3(c)(2), 3(c)(4) and Article 54 of the Telecommunications Law promulgated by Legislative Decree No. (48) of 2002. The purpose of the study is to evaluate and benchmark Quality Levels offered by Mobile Network Operators, Batelco, Viva and Zain, in the Kingdom of Bahrain. The independent study was conducted with an objective End-user perspective by Cabinet Directique and does not represent any views of the Authority.

This study is the property of the Telecommunications Regulatory Authority (the “Authority” or “TRA”). Any effort to use this Study for any purpose is permitted only upon the Authority’s written consent.

This document contains information and statistics that have been obtained from sources believed to be reliable in regard to the subject matter covered.

This document does not however constitute commercial, legal or other advice however so described. TRA and Directique exclude any warranty and, or liability, expressed or implied, as to the quality, completeness, adequacy and accuracy of the information, statements and statistics contained within this document. This document is a study and it is a non-binding document. It has no legal effect. This document does not represent an official position of the TRA, but is intended to stimulate debate in the part of stakeholders and public. It does not prejudice the form or content of any future proposal by the TRA.

TRA and Directique reserve the right to change and update the information, statements and statistics provided in this document at its discretion and without prior notification and assumes no obligation to update the document on the basis of suggestions, comments and/or queries made by third parties.

TRA and Directique assume no responsibility for any consequences that may arise in the absence of such changes and/or updates.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, neither TRA or any of its officers however so described or agents nor Directique will assume responsibility and/or liability for any loss or damage, including losses or damages such as loss of goodwill, income, profit or opportunity, or any other claim of third parties, arising from or related to the use of the content of this document.

This publication or parts thereof may only be reproduced or copied with the prior written permission from TRA.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	READER’S ADVICE	4
2	END TO END AUDIT PERFORMANCE APPROACH	5
3	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
3.1	INTRODUCTION	6
3.2	INDUSTRY RESULTS	7
4	INTERNATIONAL BENCHMARK TO REFERENCE OPERATORS.....	12
5	MEASUREMENTS SPECIFICATIONS	13
5.1	TEAM AND EQUIPMENT	13
5.2	VOICE SERVICE QUALITY TESTING.....	14
5.3	SMS MEASUREMENTS	20
5.4	DATA SERVICE TESTING	20
5.5	INTERCONNECTIVITY MEASUREMENTS	25
6	AUDIT RESULTS	25
6.1	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS.....	25
6.2	BATELCO RESULTS	28
6.3	VIVA RESULTS	36
6.4	ZAIN RESULTS.....	44



1 READER'S ADVICE

For a proper understanding of this report, readers are advised to take into account the following key elements:

Quality of Mobile Services Audit is a snapshot of the observed quality and performance offered by Mobile Operators at the time of the measurements campaign.

Mobile Operators are continuously performing modifications and upgrades (including during the audit). Performance at the time of reading the report may be different.

TRA deliberately chose to assess quality from the end user perspective, which involves for example carrying out measurements with mobile devices which are available in Mobile Operator shops, behaving like the user on the field and cross network testing. Please read section 4 carefully for a full understanding of the test protocol and measurement conditions.

As with any quality audit or survey, the statistical accuracy is systematically presented in the results tables. Accuracy is the error margin to the actual values, so any comparison between results should take this confidence interval into account.

To be consistent with this level of accuracy, results have been rounded up or down to the nearest tenth of a unit. It is reminded that:

- the sum of two rounded results can be different from the rounding of their sum,
- Multiplying one rounded result by another is different than rounding the result of their multiplication.

Other statistical aggregates used in the report are:

- **Standard deviation** shows how much variation there is from the average. A low standard deviation indicates that the data points tend to be very close to the mean, whereas high standard deviation indicates that the data are spread out over a large range of values.
- **Min** and **Max** show the worse and best results (such as delay, throughput) obtained during successful measurements.
- **Average** is always the arithmetic mean of the referred sample.

2 END TO END AUDIT PERFORMANCE APPROACH

This audit is a benchmark focused on qualitative assessment of the end to end service provided from the user point of view.

This means that measurements are performed through an end to end user perspective, in order to gather a faithful record of the customer's quality experience.

The end to end perspective consists in verifying that the service offered by the service providers is accessible for their customers, and measuring probabilities of malfunction, depending on the customer location and types of usage.

To achieve this objective, verifying that a signal is received by the handset is not sufficient, in addition is confirmed that the radio link can be bilaterally established to support the tested service; And that this radio link, with the rest of the network, can be used to initiate calls and establish data communications; And, finally, assess this communication performance, once established (voice and data).

The diagram below show the end to end service path, from end user handsets to services platform located on or outside of the operator network.




Figure 1 - End to end customer experience

The selected testing methodology reproduces a customer use of the range of mobile services, including:

- Handsets and subscriptions available to a large public. These are then selected from a list of current best sellers provided by the mobile operators. The results observed can therefore be subject to degradations induced by the device provided.
- A representative use of the market: incar, pedestrian inside and outside buildings, or under conditions that simulate correctly these uses.



3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3.1 Introduction

The availability and quality of modern telecommunications services are critical elements for the success of the Kingdom of Bahrain's economy. Mobile telecommunications services are heavily used by consumers and businesses, either located in Bahrain or visiting the Kingdom.

In releasing this study, TRA aimed at evaluating and benchmarking quality levels offered by Mobile Network Operators in the Kingdom of Bahrain, Batelco, Viva and, Zain from an end-user perspective, for the following set of services:

- Voice
- Short Message Services (SMS)
- Smartphones data tests (Web surfing, HTTP file transfers)
- Smartphones data tests on hotspots * (HTTP file transfers)
- Video streaming assessment using Smartphones
- Social networks using Smartphones

*a specific Hotspots list is given by operators. Those hotspots are locations where radio configuration allows better data performances for each operator on mobile network. Those are not to be confused with Wi-Fi hotspot.

The Authority selected Directique, an international consulting firm to conduct the assessment using a test method designed to gather a faithful qualitative record from an end users' point of view, avoiding assessing quality through a pure technical angle as this is performed by Mobile Operators themselves on a regular basis.

This QoS audit was conducted from 6th September to 11th October 2017 inclusive. Measurements were performed between 9:00 am and 11:00 pm every day except Saturdays.




3.2 Industry results

The following tables show the average combined results achieved by the three Mobile Operators for all measurements. Detailed results for each Operator are available in section 5 of this report.

3.2.1 Voice and messaging services

		2017	2016	2014	2012	2011	2010
Global VOICE service		6 707 tests	6 613 tests	6 673 tests	6 828 tests	6 822 tests	7 059 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min (SHR)		99.4%	98.6%	95.8%	96.6%	98.2%	96.6%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>		<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	99.3%	96.3%	93.8%	94.1%	94.5%	94.1%
	<i>statistical accuracy</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.3%	97.5%	95.0%	96.2%	97.2%	95.7%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>		<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>

Figure 2 – Voice service – industry results



The three networks offered an excellent level of service with an average setup and held calls rate of 99.4%, which is the best performance since the first audit in 2010.

		2017	2016	2014	2012	2011	2010
Global SMS Service		3 001 tests	2 591 tests	4 547 tests	2 637 tests	3 096 tests	1 569 tests
% of received SMS (RS2)		99.6%	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%	99.7%	99.2%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>		<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.1%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>
% of received SMS (RS30)		98.2%	97.1%	98.3%	99.3%	99.3%	96.9%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>		<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>
% of received SMS (RS15)		96.6%	95.1%	91.5%	96.5%	97.5%	
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>		<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.7%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	
Average reception delay (s)		5.1	6.6	6.7	8.1	10.4	13.1

Figure 3 – SMS service – industry results



All networks offered very good SMS service within two minutes with 0.4% defects.

The average observed SMS reception delay was around 5 seconds, which is the best performance since 2010.

3.2.2 Smartphone data measurements

4G HANDSET:

Rate of successful Smartphone DATA transfers	2017	2016	2014
HTTP DL	89.8%	91.1%	99.3%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-3.1%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>
HTTP UL	97.1%	94.1%	98.5%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-1.7%</i>	<i>2.5%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>
WEB	99.7%	99.4%	98.1%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-0.2%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>

Figure 4 – 4G Handset data service – industry results

⚠ Important:

Size of tests files has been increased since 2014, keeping the same timeout:

- HTTP DL: 100MB vs 50MB in 2014 – Time Out = 300 seconds
- HTTP UL: 50MB vs 10MB in 2014 – Time Out = 120 seconds

This explains the difference between 2014 and 2016 for “Rate of successful smartphone data transfers” in HTTP DL and UL.




Figure 5 – 4G handset - HTTP transfers - average throughputs

4G handset - Web browsing % Successful sessions




Figure 6 – 4G handset - Web browsing - % Successful sessions



3G HANDSET:

Rate of successful smartphone data transfers	2017	2016	2014	2012
HTTP DL <i>statistical accuracy</i>	98.8% +/-0.8%	95.4% 1.1%	93.1% 1.7%	99.0% 0.5%
HTTP UL <i>statistical accuracy</i>	98.4% +/-1.1%	96.8% 1.0%	94.4% 1.7%	97.9% 0.7%
WEB <i>statistical accuracy</i>	99.4% +/-0.3%	98.2% 0.3%	93.5% 0.8%	99.1% 0.1%

Figure 7 – 3G handset - HTTP transfers - average throughputs

Note: the size of tests files has been increased since 2014, keeping the same timeout:

- DL : 20MB vs 5MB in 2014 – Time Out = 180 seconds
- UL : 5MB vs 1MB in 2014 – Time Out = 120 seconds

3G Handset - average Throughput evolution




Figure 8 – 3G handset - HTTP transfers - average throughputs

3G handset - Web browsing % Successful sessions




Figure 9 – 3G handset - Web browsing % Successful sessions



3.2.3 Streaming measurements

Streaming - 4G HANDSET

	2017	2016	2014
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min <i>statistical accuracy</i>	99.3% +/-0.5%	100.0% 0.0%	97.3% 1.4%
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4 <i>statistical accuracy</i>	82.1% +/-3.3%	87.1% 2.1%	95.9% 1.7%
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4 <i>statistical accuracy</i>	90.6% +/-2.5%	90.4% 1.8%	93.5% 2.1%
Average delay – access to video (s)	3.3 s	1.0 s	3.0 s
sample	1 514	1 025	513

Figure 10 – 4G Handset Streaming service – industry results

In comparison with 2016, quality of video is video quality rate is on the same level but lower on perfect video quality rate.




Figure 11 – 4G Handset Streaming service – Quality distribution

Streaming - 3G HANDSET

	2017	2016	2014	2012
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min <i>statistical accuracy</i>	99.5% +/-0.5%	99.7% 0.3%	92.0% 2.3%	95% 3.1%
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4 <i>statistical accuracy</i>	71.2% +-3.8%	68.2% 2.9%	75.0% 3.7%	20% 5.7%
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4 <i>statistical accuracy</i>	90.1% +/-2.4%	77.5% 2.6%	77.6% 3.6%	93.5% 3.5%
Average delay – access to video (s)	4.8	2.8	5	9
sample	1 535	1 017	513	673

Figure 12 – 3G Handset Streaming service – industry results

In comparison with 2016, quality of the video is better on 3G, both on fair and perfect video quality.




Figure 13 – 3G Handset Streaming service – quality distribution

3.2.1 Broadband performances

Each operator has provided a list of hotspots (which are newel deployed technologies) where network setting should allow higher data performance, in comparison with other locations that have been tested randomly.




Figure 14 – 4G Handset Hotspots vs Random – average throughputs





Figure 15 – 4G Handset Hotspots vs Random - maximum throughputs



4 INTERNATIONAL BENCHMARK TO REFERENCE OPERATORS

The following charts are comparing the average results achieved by the three Mobile Operators in the Kingdom of Bahrain, Batelco, Viva and Zain, with the average results obtained by National Mobile Operators in the respective benchmarked markets. Measurements are based on compatible test procedures.

Results for Bahrain are the average combined results achieved by the 3 Mobile Operators.



*Saudi data is provided by the licensees and not gathered on the field and so may be more optimistic than TRA's approach.




Figure 16 –BENCHMARK TO REFERENCE OPERATORS



5 MEASUREMENTS SPECIFICATIONS

5.1 Team and Equipment

5.1.1 Team

The project was managed by Directique Operations Director with the following project team:

- A dedicated project manager present in the Kingdom during audit launch phase.
- A field supervisor based in the Kingdom for the whole audit duration.
- Test team A performing voice and SMS measurements:
 - 2 engineers and a driver in the field;
 - 2 engineers in an office.
- Test team B performing data measurements:
 - 1 engineer in the field (tests were not carried out while driving)

5.1.2 Equipment

The following mobile devices have been selected, in agreement with Mobile Operators:

All devices were compatible with voice, SMS and data technologies and were recommended or sold by Mobile Operators for 2G, 3G and 4G technologies. Batelco land lines were equipped with a standard fixed phone.

During Incar measurements, mobile phones were used without external antenna. For all voice measurements, a hands-free kit was used with mobile phones.

5.1.3 Sim cards

Directique has sourced the necessary SIM cards locally, from each tested mobile network operator, in a blind test approach.

50% of the tests have been done with prepaid SIMs, and 50% on the following postpaid packages:

SIM & Packages	PostPaid
Batelco	Super Packages BD 16
Viva	New Postpaid LTE BD 16 Plan
Zain	Postpaid 15



5.2 Voice service quality testing

5.2.1 Measurement

A voice measurement was a call attempt followed by a 2 minutes conversation. Calls were placed on all networks simultaneously from the same physical location. A measurement was therefore a set of three calls, one per Mobile Operator.

A field engineer was conversing over his mobile phone with an engineer in the fixed office. The engineer in the office was using either a fixed-line phone or a mobile phone.

Each field team had one phone for each mobile network. Either side could initiate the call following pre-defined call sample objectives.

Call distribution was as follow:




Figure 17 –Voice calls distribution

Voice measurements were performed in three configurations:

- Indoor : Pedestrian Indoor in public and private buildings
- Outdoor: Pedestrian Outdoor in the busiest outdoor places. 50% of the measurements were dynamic, and 50% were static.
- Incar: On road links (In car Road) and within Town borders (In car Town)




Figure 18 –Voice measurements type

**Audio Quality marking:**

Failed and dropped calls were registered in the database. Otherwise the audio quality was evaluated for established and 2 minutes maintained calls. Once a call was established, engineers followed a speech guideline, simulating an average conversation, and audio quality was marked on a scale of 1 to 4 as follows:

Level 4 : Perfect	Engineer doesn't notice any defect
Level 3 : Fair	One defect occurs while the conversation goes on uninterrupted
Level 2 : Poor	The natural flow of the conversation is altered and the engineer has to repeat himself
Level 1 : Bad	The defect is so strong that conversation cannot proceed.

Figure 19 – Audio Quality marking

As the call went on, each engineer took note of the identified defects such as metallic noises, voice distortion, echo... At the end of the call the fixed located engineer collected both marks on a scale of 1 to 4, did input results in the database, along with standard description of specific defect(s), if any. In the case field and fixed-end engineers had different evaluation for the call, the worst mark was retained.

5.2.2 Testing Area and sample size

Sampling distribution between towns was based on population data and organized as follow:


**Figure 20 – Voice calls – sample by city**



Figure 21 – Test locations: voice service

5.2.3 Measurements specifications - Towns

❖ *In car measurements*

In Towns of more than 50,000 inhabitants, tested zone were divided into equal areas, and a number of calls were allocated to each of these areas. Field engineers did adapt their journey depending on external events (traffic, one way roads...), with the aim of covering the whole area as per test plan.

In smaller Towns (less than 50,000 inhabitants), measurements were performed on a paths that included major roads and constructed zones (Downtown, malls, stations, touristic places and business centers).

❖ *Pedestrian measurements*

Pedestrian measurements were equally distributed over an area

- Pedestrian outdoor measurements

1/3 of measurements were dynamic (from a point to another) and 2/3 were static. A single test was performed for each location, to always ensure best repartition over the tested zone. Locations were selected among high-attendance pedestrian places (buildings, parks, malls ...)

- Pedestrian indoor measurements

Calls were placed preferably on daylight indoor (less than 3 meters from a window) or on deep indoor. Any floor in a particular building was tested, except basement and above 12th floor.

Measurements were adapted by building type: 46% in the public places and 54% in offices and residential areas:

- Large places: 3 to 4 measurements were performed
- Small places: 1 to 2 measurements were performed

5.2.4 Measurements specifications - Road links




Figure 22 – Road links distribution

This histogram shows the number of incar voice calls made on each road link.

5.2.5 Method

Test methodology followed ITU ref P.800 Mean Opinion Score for voice specification.

The corner stone of Directique test methodology is based on a training method performed on a specifically developed software **FormaTest** ©. This training method allows for a clear and faithful marking system of audio and video quality problems. Directique guarantee consistency across engineers, and a minimum standard deviation of the marks.

All tests were timed stamped and GPS tagged, in order to ensure full traceability of each measurement.

Test phones were verified on a daily basis, and when allocated for field testing, handsets were rotated between teams regularly to avoid bias due potential to small differences between same model phones in radio frequency sensitivity and processor performance.

Measurements software assisted by **ChronoTest** ©, were started simultaneously by the mobile and the fixed operators to synchronize call start. The software provided engineers with all necessary information related to a test call, when a call had to be placed (either mobile originated or mobile terminated) and ended, in order to guarantee a strict adherence to test protocol. **ChronoTest** © was combined with a GPS receiver recording the location of the mobile team every second.



All information concerning test location and call marks were recorded by the engineer at the fixed-end location in a database who ran live coherence checks to guarantee error free recording.

Hands-free kits were used on mobile phones in order to minimize ambient noise and provide a better environment to the field engineer to measure quality of the voice service.

Outdoor, the phone was either held by hand, or placed in a pocket in areas where discretion was required.

5.2.6 **No default procedure**

In order to guarantee the same level of assessment for all Mobile Operators, engineers were regularly switched from one operator to another.

In order to prevent a faulty phone polluting measurement samples, phones used for the test were new and tested prior the start of measurements campaign.

In case of abnormal behavior of a handset, it was replaced and removed from the test pool.

Every week, test results were computed in a way that singled out any problem that could be related to a test phone.

5.2.7 **Statistical Accuracy**

For each KPI rate, the statistical accuracy gives the confidence interval of the result, under or above it; and is correlated to the size of the sample.

It is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Statistical Accuracy} = 1.96 * \text{SQR}(R * (1-R) / N), \text{ where:}$$

R = Result

N = Sample

SQR = Square Root



5.3 SMS measurements

5.3.1 SMS Measurements

The mobile phones used to receive SMS were at a fixed location in an area served by a strong radio signal from the Mobile Operators. The mobile phones transmitting the SMS were in the field with the testing team. SMS were sent from indoor and outdoor locations used for voice testing to a fixed location.

A measurement, made simultaneously on all Mobile Networks, consisted of:

- Sending a 26 characters message including an index, and recording time
- Observing reception of the message on the other phone and taking note of the time; a message not received after 2 minutes elapse time was marked as failed.
- Opening and checking integrity of the received message and index matching

SMS test areas excluded road links, SMS testing schedule was the same as for voice testing.

5.4 Data service testing

5.4.1 Description

Data measurements are spread between hotspots and random places.

Hotspots are pre-defined locations where operators have deployed newly deployed technologies at those specific cell sites, which are supposed to have better performances. A list of 10 hotspots has been given by each operator, among which 9 have been selected for the audit.

Data measurements were done on 2 sets of smartphones for each operator:

- a set of smartphones LTE enabled – Network mode = auto (2G/3G/4G)
- a set of smartphones with no LTE enabled – Network mode = auto (2G/3G)

Tests have been done simultaneously on every location, on test servers provided by each operator for its own set of measurements.

		3G - Smartphone	4G - Smartphone
RANDOM	HTTP DL / HTTP UL / WEB	✓	✓
	Video streaming	✓	✓
	Social Networks (Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp)	✓	✓
HOTSPOTS	HTTP DL / HTTP UL / WEB	✓	✓
	Video streaming	✓	✓
	Social Networks (Facebook, Instagram and WhatsApp)	✓	✓

Figure 23 – Data tests matrix



5.4.2 HTTP transfer Measurement

On each network, a measurement consists of:

- Downloading a file* through HTTP. Time for downloading the entire file is recorded
- Uploading a file* through HTTP. Time for uploading the entire file is recorded

* File sizes are different depending on the technology:

- 3G : 20MB for DL (TO* = 180s) / 5MB for UL (TO = 120s)
- 4G : 100MB for DL (TO = 300s) / 50MB for UL (TO = 120s)

* TO = Time Out

Test servers, with sufficient bandwidth (100Mb/s) have been provided by the operators.

Data measurements were carried out automatically via **Mobispeed**®, a data test app developed by Directique.

5.4.3 WEB Browsing Measurements

WEB measurements were carried out automatically via **Mobispeed**®.

On each network, a measurement consists of downloading one of the 10 most visited public homepages and one page from each Operator, taking note of completion time, errors on the page if any, with a 30 seconds timeout.

The final list of websites retained (which are common among the three operators) for the tests and after analysis of the results are:

http://www.microsoft.com
http://www.instagram.com
http://www.facebook.com
http://www.expatriates.com
http://www.amazon.com
http://www.apple.com
http://www.dailymotion.com
http://www.msn.com

Figure 24 – List of webpages tested

5.4.4 Streaming Measurements

Streaming Measurements have been carried out by assessing the quality of selected **YouTube** videos with smartphones in order to represent closely as possible the customer experience. The evaluation started when the video was launched and lasted 2 minutes. Each video and audio defect was categorized and its duration was collected in order to determine if the viewing was perfect, fair, poor or bad. Once the sequence had been completed, a grade was given to describe 3 global appraisal criteria (sharpness, audio/video synchronization and sound quality)




Figure 25 – Streaming tests – recording process

Defects correspond to damages occurring during the assessment and detailed hereafter:



Video appraisal criteria	
SUPERIMPOSITION	Superimposition or interlaced images during transitions between frames
PIXELATION	Single-colored square display elements that comprise the bitmap are visible.
BUFFERING	The sequence stops, a message showing the buffering percentage appears.
JERKINESS	When the frame rate is under 18fps, individual still images may be perceived by the viewer.
FREEZE	A Freeze occurs when the sequence shows a still image during a few seconds

Audio appraisal criteria	
AUDIO INTERRUPTIONS	Silences are categorized as furtive (< 1s), short (< 3s) or long (> 3s)
AUDIO DEFECTS	Punctual audio defects perceived by the user including distortions, crackling, metallic sounds and echoes.

Global appraisal criteria	
AUDIO SEQUENCE QUALITY	Overall audio quality of the sequence
SHARPNESS	Sharpness reflects the level of detail in the images displayed.
AUDIO/VIDEO SYNCHRONIZATION	The level of desynchronization is measured proportionally to the length of the delay between audio and video.

Figure 26 – video streaming - quality appraisal

2 type of video are evaluated:

- 50% of Standard Definition (360p)
- 50% of High Definition (720p)

However, global result is calculated without type distinction.

5.4.5 Social networks

Evaluation of the quality of service on social networks has been measured for the first time in 2016, automatically on Facebook and manually by the data tester on Instagram and WhatsApp, in the exact conditions of a regular consumer.

- **Facebook**

Facebook measurements have been made with our automatic tool QoSuite.

In order to simulate a regular customer experience, the test consisted in sharing content on Facebook.

The uploaded file was a 20MB video, during a maximum delay of 30 seconds.

The tools give the following results:

- Total **downloaded volume (MB)** after 30 seconds.
- Average **Throughput (Mb/s)** during the 30 seconds of the test.

- **Instagram**

Instagram tests have been made manually by the tester, using a semi-automatic input tool to save the results in a data base.

Each operator have been tested separately, one after the other, in order to keep the same testing conditions.

In order to simulate a regular customer experience, the test consisted in sharing content on Instagram.



Description of the tests:

- tester took a photo of the location with the camera of the handset (approx. 4MB)
- tester selected “Share/Instagram”, and measured the delay to access the App
- tester clicked on “Publish”, and measured the delay to publish the photo

- **WhatsApp**

As for Instagram, WhatsApp measurements have made manually by the tester, using a semi-automatic input tool to save the results in a data base.

In order to assess the quality of voice and messaging service through WhatsApp (IP), in comparison with the regular voice and SMS services on mobile networks, we used the exact same protocols:

- Voice: 2 minutes calls
- Messages: sending a 26 characters message

5.4.6 Sample

CITY	HTTP DL	HTTP UL	WEB	STREAMING	FACEBOOK	INSTAGRAM	Total
Al Budayyi	62	64	722	105	52	36	1 041
Al Hadd	53	55	511	78	38	26	761
Al Malikiyah	29	30	341	54	28	18	500
Al Manamah	672	692	6 682	983	494	331	9 855
Al Muharraq	109	113	1 263	193	98	66	1 842
Ali	64	66	742	108	55	36	1 071
Amwaj	45	46	521	54	28	18	712
Ar Rifa	148	152	1 594	236	118	80	2 328
Barbar	33	34	281	42	21	14	425
Duratt Al Bahrein	42	43	481	72	34	24	695
Hamala	33	34	281	42	21	14	425
Jaww	42	43	481	72	37	24	699
Jidd Hafs	313	323	2 597	356	182	122	3 892
Madinat Hamad	85	93	1 123	161	78	54	1 594
Madinat Isa	108	112	1 140	166	86	56	1 668
Saar	74	76	752	114	53	38	1 107
Sakhir	64	66	642	93	46	32	943
Sitrah	61	63	701	126	61	42	1 053
Total	2 039	2 105	20 855	3 052	1 528	1 032	30 611

Figure 27 – Smartphone test sample distribution

5.5 Interconnectivity measurements

In order to evaluate the interconnectivity between networks, we have used an automated system that launched crossed network calls following a predefined script.

Those platforms, which consist of a laptop connected to regular phones through our tool Mobitrace, have been installed in several places in Manama and have launched calls continuously during several hours' sessions.

The called mobiles were installed in, our office in Manama, under good radio conditions, and were configured to pick up automatically when called.

On each location, 2 configurations have been tested:

- 1st configuration : Batelco to Viva / Viva to Zain / Zain to Batelco
- 2nd configuration : Batelco to Zain / Viva to Batelco / Zain to Viva

The rate of calls set-up has been compared with Voice audit results (own network).

6 AUDIT RESULTS

6.1 Key Performance Indicators

6.1.1 Voice KPIs

A voice measurement is a successful call attempt followed by a 2 minutes conversation, with an assessment of the audio voice quality for each operator service.

KPIs	Definition
SHC (Set-up and held for 2 min calls)	% of calls set-up and held for 2 min. Call set-up on first attempt and held for 2 min without drop.
PQR (Perfect quality rate)	% of calls set-up held for 2 min and marked 4. Calls excluded = failed on first attempt, dropped before 2 min, or been marked 3 or lower. Rate based on total sample
CQR (Correct quality rate)	% of calls set-up held for 2 min and marked 4. Calls excluded = failed on first attempt, dropped before 2 min, or been marked 2 or lower. Rate based on total sample

6.1.2 SMS KPIs

KPIs	Definition
RS 2 (% of received SMS within 2 minutes)	SMS not refused when sent out and received within 2 minutes. Rate based on total sample
RS 30 (% of SMS received SMS within 30 sec)	SMS not refused when sent out and received within 30 seconds without being altered. Rate based on total sample



RS 15 (% of SMS received SMS within 15 sec)	SMS not refused when sent out and received within 15 seconds without being altered.
--	---

6.1.3 Web KPIs

KPIs	Definition
% of successful data transfers	Successful page loading within 60s. Rate based on total sample
Average download time	Average delay once connected, applied only to successful data transfers
Min download time	Best delay to load a webpage
Standard deviation download time	Standard download time deviation applied only to successful data transfers
WEB10 : % of successful data transfers within 10 seconds	Successful page loading within 10s. Rate based on total sample
WEB5 : % of successful data transfers within 5 seconds	Successful page loading within 5s. Rate based on total sample

6.1.4 HTTP

KPIs	Definition
% of successful data transfers	Successful data transfer without radio drop. Indicator is based on the total number of connection attempts
Average Throughput	Average throughput once connected, applied only to successful data transfers
Best Throughput	Best throughput recorded for a data transfer measurement
Average delay (s)	Average delay to successful data transfers within defined Time Out

6.1.5 Streaming KPIs

KPIs	Definition
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min	Video launched on first attempt, and held for 2 min without drop
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4	Video excluded = failed on first attempt, dropped before 2 min, or been marked 3 or lower - (PQR : Perfect Quality Rate)
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4	Video excluded = failed on first attempt, dropped before 2 min, or been marked 2 or lower - (CQR : Correct Quality Rate)
Delay (min, average)	delay between the launch click and the beginning of the sequence



6.1.6 Facebook

KPIs	Definition
Average Upload Throughput	Average throughput during the 30 seconds of upload
Best Throughput	Best throughput during the 30 seconds of upload

6.1.7 Instagram

KPIs	Definition
Rate of successful publications (%)	Successful data transfer without radio drop. Indicator is based on the total number of connection attempts
Average delay to publish (access+post) (s)	delay between the selection of “Share/Instagram” and the publication of the picture

6.1.8 WhatsApp

KPIs	Definition
PQR (Perfect quality rate)	% of calls set-up held for 2 min and marked 4. Calls excluded = failed on first attempt, dropped before 2 min, or been marked 3 or lower. Rate based on total sample
RS 30 (% of received messages within 30 sec)	Messages not refused when sent out and received within 30 seconds without being altered.



6.2 Batelco results

6.2.1 Global voice results (Cities & Road links)

		Batelco
Global voice service		2 236 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy		99.3% +/-0.3%
and marked	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	98.9% +/-0.4%
	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR) statistical accuracy	99.1% +/-0.4%

Figure 28 – voice – Global results




Figure 29 – voice – Global results evolution

		Batelco
Cities voice service (incar, outdoor, indoor)		1 966 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy		99.3% +/-0.4%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	99.0% +/-0.4%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR) statistical accuracy	99.1% +/-0.4%

Figure 30 – voice – Cities results



		Batelco
Cities voice service (incar only)		954 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy		99.0% +/-0.6%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	98.6% +/-0.7%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR) statistical accuracy	98.7% +/-0.7%

Figure 31 – voice – Cities incar results

		Batelco
Road links service		270 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy		98.9% +/-1.3%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	98.5% +/-1.4%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR) statistical accuracy	98.5% +/-1.4%

Figure 32 – voice – Road links results

VOLTE:

For the first time, voice measurements on voLTE have been made in parallel of the classical voice tests. The device for those tests was the iPhone 7.

At the time of the audit, the voLTE option was available on Batelco network only.

		Batelco
Global VOICE service		2 236 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy		97.9% +/-0.6%
and marked	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	97.9% +/-0.6%
	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR) statistical accuracy	97.9% +/-0.6%



Figure 33 – BATELCO Global voice results



6.2.2 SMS results

	BATELCO
SMS service	971 tests
% of received SMS (RS2)	99.2%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-0.0%</i>
% of received SMS (RS30)	96.9%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-1.1%</i>
% of received SMS (RS15)	95.7%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-1.3%</i>
Average reception delay (s)	5.1

Figure 34 – SMS – Global results




Figure 35 – SMS – Global results evolution



6.2.3 Data smartphone results

6.2.3.1 3G HANDSET

	BATELCO
HTTP DL	353 tests
Rate of successful data transfers (within 180 seconds)	99.7%
Statistical accuracy	0.6%
Average Throughput (kbps)	8 845
Max throughput (kbps)	27 124
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)	5 862
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps	88.1%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps	68.6%
Average delay to download a 20MB file (s)	38.6

Figure 36 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL

	BATELCO
HTTP UL	349 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	98.3%
Statistical accuracy	1.4%
Average Throughput (kbps)	2 131
Max throughput (kbps)	4 469
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)	1 047
Average delay to upload a 5MB file (s)	30.2

Figure 37 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP UL




Figure 38 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

	BATELCO
WEB	2 749 tests
Rate of successful webpage download	99.8%
Statistical accuracy	+/-0.2%
Average download time (s)	5.6
Min download time (s)	0.5
Standard deviation download time (s)	3.3
% webpage download within 10 seconds	90.8%

Figure 39 – 3G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.2.3.2 4G HANDSET

	BATELCO
HTTP DL	352 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	89.2%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-3.2%</i>
Average Throughput (kbps)	40 148
Max throughput (kbps)	131 350
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)	37 731
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps	91.5%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps	78.7%
Average delay to download a 100MB file (s)	66.9

Figure 40 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL

	BATELCO
HTTP UL	356 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	95.8%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-2.1%</i>
Average Throughput (kbps)	23 667
Max throughput (kbps)	46 219
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)	12 437
Average delay to upload a 50MB file (s)	26.7

Figure 41 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP UL

Batelco - 4G Handset - average Throughput evolution




Figure 42 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

	BATELCO
WEB	2 737 tests
Rate of successful webpage download	100%
<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	<i>+/-0.1%</i>
Average download time (s)	4.3
Min download time (s)	0.7
Standard deviation download time (s)	3.1
% webpage download within 10 seconds	94.8%

Figure 43 – 4G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.2.4 Streaming KPIs

6.2.4.1 Streaming - 3G HANDSET vs 4G HANDSET

	3G HANDSET	4G HANDSET
Sample	496 tests	486 tests
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy	100.0% +/-0.0%	100.0% +/-0.0%
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4 statistical accuracy	62.8% +/-4.3%	83.7% +/-3.3%
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4 statistical accuracy	85.2% +/-3.1%	91.5% +/-2.5%
Average delay	4.4	2.6
Minimum delay	1.6	1.4

Figure 44 – Video Streaming




Figure 45 – Streaming - Quality distribution

6.2.4.2 Streaming – High def. (HD) vs Standard def. (SD)




Figure 46 – Quality ratio by video definition: % of time playing video flow, not impacted by video freeze



6.2.5 Facebook KPIs

	Batelco	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	249 tests	245 tests
Average Throughput	10.7 Mb/s	1.7 Mb/s
Max throughput	30.5 Mb/s	3.7 Mb/s
Standard deviation throughput	6.1 Mb/s	1.0 Mb/s

Figure 47 – Facebook results

6.2.6 Instagram KPIs

	Batelco	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	172 tests	172 tests
Rate of successful publications (%)	100.0%	100.0%
Average delay to publish (seconds)	4.4	4.9

Figure 48 – Instagram results

6.2.7 WhatsApp KPIs

	Batelco
Sample	160 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min 4-perfect (PQR) statistical accuracy	100.0% +/-0.0%
Rate of successful received Messages (%)	100.0%
Average delay to send a message (seconds)	1.0

Figure 49 – WhatsApp results

6.2.8 Interconnectivity calls

	Batelco to Viva	Batelco to Zain	Batelco to other networks
Sample	495 tests	507 tests	1 002 tests
Rate of calls set-up statistical accuracy	100.0% +/-0.5%	100.0% +/-0.8%	100.0% +/-0.5%

Figure 50 – Interconnectivity calls results

Cross network testing show no issues and is at least at the same quality level than own.



6.3 Viva Results

6.3.1 Global voice results (Cities & Road links)

		Viva
Global voice service		2 235 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.7%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.2%
and marked	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect (PQR)	99.6%
	statistical accuracy	+/-0.2%
	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.6%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.2%

Figure 51 – voice – Global results




Figure 52 – voice – Global results evolution

		Viva
Cities voice service (incar, outdoor, indoor)		1 966 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.7%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.2%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	99.6%
	statistical accuracy	+/-0.3%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.6%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.3%

Figure 53 – voice – Cities results



		Viva
Cities voice service (incar only)		954 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.9% +/-0.8%
statistical accuracy		
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	99.9%
	statistical accuracy	+/-1.3%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.9%
	statistical accuracy	+/-1.1%

Figure 54 – voice – Cities incar results

		Viva
Road links service		269 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		100.0% +/-0.0%
statistical accuracy		
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	100.0%
	statistical accuracy	+/-0.0%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	100.0%
	statistical accuracy	+/-0.0%

Figure 55 – voice – Road links results




Figure 56 – VIVA Global voice results



6.3.2 SMS results

	VIVA
SMS service	1 005 tests
% of received SMS (RS2) Statistical accuracy	99.7% +/-0.3%
% of received SMS (RS30) Statistical accuracy	99.6% +/-0.4%
% of received SMS (RS15) Statistical accuracy	97.9% +/-0.9%
Average reception delay (s)	6.0

Figure 57 – SMS – Global results




Figure 58 – SMS – Global results evolution



6.3.3 Data smartphone results

6.3.3.1 3G HANDSET

HTTP DL		VIVA
		361 tests
Rate of successful data transfers		100.0%
	Statistical accuracy	+/-0.0%
Average Throughput (kbps)		11 507
Max throughput (kbps)		30 747
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		7 057
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps		96.1%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps		77.0%
Average delay to download a 20MB file (s)		27.9

Figure 59 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL

HTTP UL		VIVA
		357 tests
Rate of successful data transfers		100.0%
	Statistical accuracy	+/-0.0%
Average Throughput (kbps)		1 977
Max throughput (kbps)		4 513
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		729
Average delay to upload a 5MB file (s)		23.7

Figure 60 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP UL




Figure 61 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

WEB		VIVA
		2 870 tests
Rate of successful data transfers		99.7%
	Statistical accuracy	+/-0.2%
Average download time (s)		5.1
Min download time (s)		0.6
Standard deviation download time (s)		3.2
% data transfers within 10 seconds		92.7%

Figure 62 – 3G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.3.3.2 4G HANDSET

HTTP DL		VIVA
		350 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	98.0%
		1.5%
Average Throughput (kbps)		42 547
Max throughput (kbps)		171 056
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		33 554
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps		99.7%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps		95.2%
Average delay to download a 20MB file (s)		43.8

Figure 63 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL

HTTP UL		VIVA
		348 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	98.3%
		1.4%
Average Throughput (kbps)		29 114
Max throughput (kbps)		48 216
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		11 975
Average delay to upload a 5MB file (s)		20.7

Figure 64 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP UL




Figure 65 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

WEB		VIVA
		2 864 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	99.7%
		+/-0.2%
Average download time (s)		3.7
Min download time (s)		0.5
Standard deviation download time (s)		2.3
% data transfers within 10 seconds		98.1%

Figure 66 – 4G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.3.4 Streaming KPIs

6.3.4.1 Streaming - 3G HANDSET vs 4G HANDSET

	3G HANDSET	4G HANDSET
Sample	531 tests	531 tests
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy	99.1% +/-0.8%	98.3% +/-1.1%
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4 statistical accuracy	84.0% +/-3.1%	89.5% +/-2.6%
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4 statistical accuracy	97.7% +/-1.3%	95.5% +/-1.8%
Average delay	5.5 s	4.5 s
Minimum delay	1.9 s	1.7 s

Figure 67 – Video Streaming




Figure 68 – Streaming - Quality distribution

6.3.4.2 Streaming – High def. (HD) vs Standard def. (SD)




Figure 69 – Quality ratio by video definition: % of time playing video flow, not impacted by video freeze



6.3.5 Facebook KPIs

	VIVA	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	264 tests	267 tests
Average Throughput	10.9 Mb/s	1.8 Mb/s
Max throughput	32.9 Mb/s	3.9 Mb/s
Standard deviation throughput	7.0 Mb/s	0.6 Mb/s

Figure 70 – Facebook results

6.3.6 Instagram KPIs

	VIVA	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	172 tests	172 tests
Rate of successful publications (%)	100.0%	100.0%
Average delay to publish (seconds)	4.3	4.5

Figure 71 – Instagram results

6.3.7 WhatsApp KPIs

	VIVA
Sample	160 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min 4-perfect (PQR) <i>statistical accuracy</i>	100.0% <i>+/-0.0%</i>
Rate of successful received Messages (%)	100.0%
Average delay to send a message (seconds)	1.0

Figure 72 – WhatsApp results

6.3.8 Interconnectivity calls

	Viva to Batelco	Viva to Zain	Viva to other networks
Sample	505 tests	500 tests	1 005 tests
Rate of calls set-up <i>statistical accuracy</i>	99.8% <i>+/-0.2%</i>	100.0% <i>+/-0.0%</i>	99.9% <i>+/-0.1%</i>

Figure 73 – Interconnectivity calls results

Cross network testing show no issues and is at least at the same quality level than own.



6.4 Zain results

6.4.1 Global voice results (Cities & Road links)

		ZAIN
Global voice service		2 236 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.3% +/-0.3%
and marked	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect (PQR)	99.2% +/-0.4%
	statistical accuracy	
	Rate of calls marked 4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.3% +/-0.3%
	statistical accuracy	

Figure 74 – voice – Global results




Figure 75 – voice – Global results evolution

		ZAIN
Cities voice service (incar, outdoor, indoor)		1 966 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.4% +/-0.3%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	99.3% +/-0.4%
	statistical accuracy	
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.3% +/-0.4%
	statistical accuracy	

Figure 76 – voice – Cities results



		ZAIN
Cities voice service (incar only)		954 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		99.3%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.5%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	99.3%
	statistical accuracy	+/-0.5%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	99.3%
statistical accuracy		+/-0.5%

Figure 77 – voice – Cities incar results

		ZAIN
Road links service		270 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min		98.9%
statistical accuracy		+/-1.3%
and marked	4-perfect (PQR)	98.9%
	statistical accuracy	+/-1.3%
	4-perfect or 3-fair (CQR)	98.9%
statistical accuracy		+/-1.3%

Figure 78 – voice – Road links results



Figure 79 – Zain Global voice results



6.4.2 SMS results

		ZAIN
SMS service		1 025 tests
% of received SMS (RS2)		99.9%
Statistical accuracy		+/-0.2%
% of received SMS (RS30)		98.1%
Statistical accuracy		+/-0.8%
% of received SMS (RS15)		96.2%
Statistical accuracy		+/-1.2%
Average reception delay (s)		4.3

Figure 80 – SMS – Global results




Figure 81 – SMS – Global results evolution



6.4.1 Data smartphone results

6.4.1.1 3G HANDSET

HTTP DL		ZAIN
		343 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	96.8%
		+/-1.9%
Average Throughput (kbps)		10 077
Max throughput (kbps)		29 487
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		7 331
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps		86.0%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps		65.0%
Average delay to download a 20MB file (s)		38.9

Figure 82 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL

HTTP UL		ZAIN
		346 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	96.8%
		+/-1.8%
Average Throughput (kbps)		2 335
Max throughput (kbps)		4 248
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		1 230
Average delay to upload a 5MB file (s)		27.8

Figure 83 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP UL




Figure 84 – 3G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

WEB		ZAIN
		2 760 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	Statistical accuracy	98.9%
		+/-0.4%
Average download time (s)		4.2
Min download time (s)		0.8
Standard deviation download time (s)		3.1
% data transfers within 10 seconds		91.8%

Figure 85 – 3G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.4.1.2 4G HANDSET

HTTP DL

		ZAIN
		280 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	82.1%
		+/-4.5%
Average Throughput (kbps)		27 077
Max throughput (kbps)		92 219
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		24 943
% data transfers with a throughput > 2Mbps		85.6%
% data transfers with a throughput > 5.1Mbps		66.9%
Average delay to download a 20MB file (s)		85.0

Figure 86 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL

HTTP UL

		ZAIN
		341 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	97.4%
		+/-1.7%
Average Throughput (kbps)		17 256
Max throughput (kbps)		49 558
Standard deviation throughput (kbps)		10 137
Average delay to upload a 5MB file (s)		33.7

Figure 87 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP UL




Figure 88 – 4G HANDSET – HTTP DL and UL - throughput evolution

WEB

		ZAIN
		2 711 tests
Rate of successful data transfers	<i>Statistical accuracy</i>	99.4%
		+/-0.3%
Average download time (s)		5.2
Min download time (s)		0.6
Standard deviation download time (s)		3.7
% data transfers within 10 seconds		94.7%

Figure 89 – 4G HANDSET – Web Browsing



6.4.2 Streaming KPIs

6.4.2.1 Streaming - 3G HANDSET vs 4G HANDSET

	3G HANDSET	4G HANDSET
Sample	508 tests	497 tests
LHV : % of videos set-up and held for 2 min statistical accuracy	99.6% +/-0.5%	99.8% +/-0.4%
VPQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 4 statistical accuracy	65.9% +/-4.1%	72.6% +/-3.9%
VCQR : % of videos set-up, held for 2 min, and marked 3 or 4 statistical accuracy	86.8% +/-2.9%	84.5% +/-3.2%
Average delay	4.5 s	2.7 s
Minimum delay	1.9 s	1.8 s

Figure 90 – Video Streaming




Figure 91 – Streaming - Quality distribution

6.4.2.2 Streaming – High def. (HD) vs Standard def. (SD)




Figure 92 – Quality ratio by video definition: % of time playing video flow, not impacted by video freeze



6.4.3 Facebook KPIs

	ZAIN	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	248 tests	255 tests
Average Throughput	10.1 Mb/s	1.9 Mb/s
Max throughput	29.8 Mb/s	3.8 Mb/s
Standard deviation throughput	5.3 Mb/s	1.0 Mb/s

Figure 93 – Facebook results

6.4.4 Instagram KPIs

	ZAIN	
	4G handset	3G handset
Total sample	172 tests	172 tests
Rate of successful publications (%)	100.0%	99.4%
Average delay to publish (seconds)	4.5	4.6

Figure 94 – Instagram results

6.4.5 WhatsApp KPIs

	ZAIN
Sample	160 tests
Rate of calls set-up and held for 2 min 4-perfect (PQR)	98.8% +/-1.7%
Rate of successful received Messages (%)	100.0%
Average delay to send a message (seconds)	1.1

Figure 95 – WhatsApp results

6.4.6 Interconnectivity calls

	ZAIN to Batelco	ZAIN to Viva	ZAIN to other networks
Sample	495 tests	495 tests	990 tests
Rate of calls set-up	99.6%	100.0%	99.8%
<i>statistical accuracy</i>	+/-0.2%	+/-0.0%	+/-0.1%

Figure 96 – Interconnectivity calls results

Cross network testing show no issues and is at least at the same quality level than own.



End of document